UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,247	12/10/2003	Kazuhiko Ohtsuki	1963.0150000/TGD/EDH 5764		
26111 7590 07/05/2007 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			SPISICH, GEORGE D		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/731,247	OHTSUKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
George D. Spisich	3616		

•	George D. Spisich	3616	,					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection.								
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	Last motion to the color of the color	£(11 A b A b A	h					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the compared to			because					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE Below,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:	•							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 22-26</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
1/1/3/3		Goorge D. Crisist						
Pall	7/2/0/	George D. Spisich Patent Examiner						

PAUL N. DICKSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

FEGINOLOGY DENTER SHOP of an Appeal Brief Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112.2 rejection of claims 1-6 and 22-26, however, due to the cancellation of "such as a speed changing mechanism and a clutch mechanism" in claims 1 and 22, it is now unclear and contradictory to claim that there are only transmission shafts and no transmission units since a shaft would broadly be considered a unit.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the 112.1 rejection in the Final Action (4/4/07) is not proper since the Specification (page 23, lines 7-15) and Figure 2 and 5 properly disclose and show that only transmission shafts are in the hollow intermediate housing is not persuasive. As discussed in the Interview Summary (6/27/07), Examiner maintains that disclosing "transmission shafts are the only transmission elements in the intermediate housing" is entirely different in scope (and contradictory) to the limitation in claims 1 and 22 that "only transmission shafts are in the intermediate housing". Examiner maintains that at least a portion of the filter element (and a support for the shafts) is in the intermediate housing and therefore "only transmission shafts" in the intermediate housing is not disclosed.